

SUBCANONICAL COORDINATE RINGS ARE GORENSTEIN

V. HINICH AND V. SCHECHTMAN

To our teacher Evgeny Solomonovich Golod, with gratitude

In all examples we consider, [the coordinate ring of X] is a Gorenstein ring; this property is one of the most powerful general tools we have in studying X and its deformations. It seems to us that this point is not adequately appreciated.

A. Corti, M. Reid, Weighted Grassmanians.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $i : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a smooth connected projective variety embedded into a projective space (we are working over a fixed ground field k). Set $\mathcal{O}_X(1) = i^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ and consider the coordinate algebra

$$A = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n)).$$

By construction A is identified with a quotient algebra $A = S/I$ where $S = \text{Sym}(V^*) = k[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}]$. The *Koszul homology algebra* is defined as

$$H(A) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^n \text{Tor}_p^S(A, k).$$

This is a (bi)graded commutative k -algebra, finite dimensional as a k -vector space.

In an inspiring paper [GKR] Gorodentsev, Khoroshkin and Rudakov prove (among others) the following elegant result. Denote by K_X the canonical class of X .

1.1. Theorem ((see [GKR], Sect. 2)). *Suppose that*

- (a) *there exists a natural N such that $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-N)$;*
- (b) *$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n)) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 < i < d := \dim X$.*

Then $H(A)$ is Frobenius.

Here *Frobenius* means that there exists a nondegenerate bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H(A) \times H(A) \longrightarrow k$, suitably compatible with the gradings, such that $\langle ab, c \rangle = \langle a, bc \rangle$.

The proof in *op. cit.* is very nice; it uses the "sphericity" of certain spectral sequence.

In this note we would like to look at this result from a slightly different perspective. Our point of departure is a fundamental result by Avramov and Golod, [AG]:

1.2. Theorem. *$H(A)$ is Frobenius if and only if A is Gorenstein.*

In fact, Avramov and Golod work in the local situation; the passage to our graded context presents no difficulties. Indeed, according to *op. cit.*, $H(A)$ is Frobenius iff the localisation of A at 0 is Gorenstein; however, A is smooth outside this ideal, so this is equivalent to A being Gorenstein.

So our question reduces to the Gorenstein property of A .

Let us say, following [GKR], that $X \subset \mathbb{P}$ is *subcanonical* if the condition (a) of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied. In the present note we prove the following

1.3. Theorem. *Assume $\text{char}(k) = 0$. If $X \subset \mathbb{P}$ is subcanonical then A is Gorenstein and has rational singularities.*

We establish this using certain *Key Lemma* from [H] (see Proposition 2.1) giving a sufficient condition for a singularity being Gorenstein and rational. The proof of this lemma uses Grauert-Riemenschneider theorem, and hence the characteristic zero assumption. (On the contrary, although Gorodentsev et al. assume $k = \mathbb{C}$, their proof of 1.1 works over an arbitrary field).

1.4. Corollary. *If $X \subset \mathbb{P}$ is subcanonical then $H(A)$ is Frobenius.*

So, the condition (b) of Theorem 1.1 is superfluous if $\text{char } k = 0$.

The main objects of study in *op. cit.* are *highest weight orbits* of a semisimple algebraic group G . For such X the authors of [GKR] prove that (b) follows from (a).

In this case we prove that subcanonicity is *equivalent* to the Gorenstein property of A :

1.5. Theorem. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be the projectivisation of the highest weight orbit in an irreducible finite dimensional representation V of a semisimple group G . This embedding is subcanonical if and only if the corresponding coordinate ring A is Gorenstein (so, iff $H(A)$ is Frobenius).*

1.6. Acknowledgement. This note was written during a visit of the first author to the *Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse*. He thanks this Institute for the hospitality.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

We keep the notation of the Introduction. The affine variety $Z := \text{Spec}(A)$ is the cone over X ; therefore it is nonsingular outside 0. It has a very nice desingularization Y which is the total space of the vector bundle $\mathbb{E} = \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$. Let

$$(1) \quad p : Y = \text{Spec}(\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbb{E}^*)) \longrightarrow X$$

be the projection.

The embedding $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1) \longrightarrow V$ defines an embedding $Y \longrightarrow X \times V$; the projection to the second factor has image $Z \subset \text{Spec}(\text{Sym } V^*) = V$ and the map

$$(2) \quad \pi : Y \longrightarrow Z$$

is a desingularization.

Recall the following

2.1. Proposition (see [H]). *Let $\pi : Y \longrightarrow Z$ be a proper birational map with Y smooth and Z normal. Let ω_Y be the sheaf of higher differentials on Y . Assume there exists a morphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \omega_Y$ such that $\pi_*\phi : \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \pi_*\omega_Y$ is an isomorphism. Then Z is Gorenstein and has rational singularities.*

We wish to apply this to our desingularization $\pi : Y \rightarrow Z$. Note that $Z = \text{Spec}(A)$ is normal.

The short exact sequence of vector bundles on Y

$$(3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow p^*\mathbb{E} \longrightarrow T_Y \longrightarrow p^*T_X \longrightarrow 0$$

yields an isomorphism

$$(4) \quad \omega_Y = p^*(\omega_X \otimes \mathbb{E}^*).$$

We wish to calculate the global sections of ω_Y . First of all, we have

$$(5) \quad p_*\omega_Y = p_*p^*(\omega_X \otimes \mathbb{E}^*) = \omega_X \otimes \mathbb{E}^* \otimes \text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}\mathbb{E}^* = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n)$$

since p is an affine morphism.

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\omega_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-N)$. One has an obvious map

$$\mathcal{O}_X = \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(N) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n) = p_*\omega_Y$$

which gives by adjunction a map $\phi : \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \omega_Y$.

We will check now that ϕ induces an isomorphism of the global sections. Applying to ϕ the direct image functor p_* we get a morphism

$$(6) \quad p_*(\phi) : \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_X(n) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n)$$

which is obviously a map of $p_*(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ -modules. By definition it carries $1 \in p_*(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ to a generator of $\omega_X(N) = \mathcal{O}_X$, so the map $p_*(\phi)$ carries isomorphically the summand $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ of the left-hand side to the summand $\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(N+n)$ of the right-hand side. For $n < N$ one has on the right-hand side

$$\Gamma(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n)) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n-N)) = 0,$$

so $p_*(\phi)$ induces an isomorphism of the global sections.

3. HOMOGENEOUS CASE

Let now G be a semisimple Lie group, V a simple finite dimensional highest weight G -module, $v \in V$ be a highest weight vector. Let P be the stabilizer of $\mathbb{C}v$ in $\mathbb{P}(V)$. This is a parabolic subgroup of G . A G -equivariant embedding $i : X := G/P \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ is induced.

The closure Z of Gv is a cone in V . We have $Z = \text{Spec}(A)$ where A is the homogeneous coordinate ring of $X = G/P$ with respect to i .

In this case the converse of the theorem 1.3 is valid. One has

3.1. Theorem. *The space Z is Gorenstein iff $\omega_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-N)$ for some N .*

Note that the conclusion of the Theorem is not true for an arbitrary (nonhomogeneous) X (for example it follows easily from the results of Mukai [M] that a generic curve of genus 7 embedded canonically in \mathbb{P}^6 has a Gorenstein coordinate ring).

Proof. The dualizing complex of Z can be calculated as

$$(7) \quad \omega_Z = R \text{Hom}_{SV^*}(A, SV^*)[\dim V - \dim Z]$$

(the shift is chosen so that ω_Z is concentrated in degree 0 when A is Cohen-Macaulay).

Its cohomology keeps the grading of SV^* and A ; therefore, if A is Gorenstein so that ω_Z is an invertible A -module, it has to be isomorphic to A .

Choose an isomorphism $\theta : A \longrightarrow \omega_Z$.

We now apply the Duality isomorphism, see [Ha], VII.3.4, to the proper morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow Z$. It gives, in particular, an isomorphism

$$(8) \quad \text{Hom}_{D(Y)}(F, \pi^! G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{D(Z)}(R\pi_* F, G)$$

for any $F \in D_{qc}^-(Y)$, $G \in D_c^+(Z)$.

We apply this to $F = \mathcal{O}_Y$ and $G = \omega_Z$. By a general result of Kempf [K] Z has rational singularities, so $R\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = A$. Moreover, $\pi^!(\omega_Z) = \omega_Y$. Thus, Duality isomorphism gives us

$$(9) \quad \text{Hom}_{D(Y)}(\mathcal{O}_Y, \omega_Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{D(Z)}(\mathcal{O}_Z, \omega_Z).$$

We see that the map $\theta : A \rightarrow \omega_Z$ is adjoint to a map $\theta_Y : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \omega_Y$ which in turn can be rewritten as a morphism

$$(10) \quad \theta_X : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow p_*(\omega_Y) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \omega_X(n).$$

We intend to prove now that each direct component $\theta_{X,n} : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \omega_X(n)$ is either isomorphism or vanishes. This will immediately imply the theorem.

Note that the formula (7) shows that the group G naturally acts on ω_Z . We claim that $\theta : A \rightarrow \omega_Z$ is necessarily G -equivariant.

In fact, the G -action on A -module ω_Z is compatible with G -action on A :

$$g(ax) = g(a)g(x), \quad g \in G, \quad a \in A, \quad x \in \omega_Z.$$

Another G -module structure on ω_Z compatible with the G -action on A is given by θ . These two actions define two group homomorphisms

$$\rho_1, \rho_2 : G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\omega_Z).$$

The “difference” between the two defined by the formula

$$\rho_{12} : g \mapsto \rho_1(g^{-1}) \circ \rho_2(g)$$

gives rise to a crossed homomorphism $\rho_{12} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_A(\omega_Z) = \mathbb{C}^*$. Since the action of G on \mathbb{C}^* is trivial and G is semisimple, ρ_{12} is trivial, which means that θ is G -equivariant.

Let us show that the maps θ_Y and θ_X obtained from θ via Duality isomorphism, are also G -equivariant.

Choose $g \in G$ and let $g_X : X \rightarrow X$, $g_Y : Y \rightarrow Y$, $g_Z : Z \rightarrow Z$ denote the corresponding automorphisms of the varieties.

An action of $g \in G$ on \mathcal{O}_Z and ω_Z are expressed as isomorphisms $g_Z^*(\mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$ and $g_Z^*(\omega_Z) \rightarrow \omega_Z$. Since θ is equivariant, it gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} g_Z^*(\mathcal{O}_Z) & \xrightarrow{g_Z^*\theta} & g_Z^*(\omega_Z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_Z & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \omega_Z \end{array}$$

The map θ_Y can be described as the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \pi^! R\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y) = \pi^! \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow \pi^! \omega_Z,$$

so that it suffices to check that the first morphism is G -equivariant. The latter can be expressed as the commutativity of the diagram

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} g_Y^*(\mathcal{O}_Y) & \longrightarrow & g_Y^*(\pi^! R\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_Y & \longrightarrow & \pi^! R\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y) \end{array}$$

for each $g \in G$, and this follows from the relations

$$g_Y^* \pi^! = \pi^! g_Z^*, \quad g_Z^* R\pi_* = R\pi_* g_Y^*.$$

All this proves that θ_Y is G -equivariant; the similar fact for θ_X is even more transparent.

We have already understood that the components $\theta_{X,n}$ of the map $\theta_X : \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \bigoplus \omega_X(n)$ are G -equivariant. This implies that the map of fibers at $1P \in G/P$ is P -equivariant. The fibers are one-dimensional representations of P ; any P -morphism is either zero or an isomorphism. This proves the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [AG] L. Avramov, E. Golod, The homology algebra the Koszul complex of a local Gorenstein ring, *Mat. Zametki* **9** (1971), 53–58.
- [GKR] A. Gorodentsev, A. Khoroshkin, A. Rudakov, On syzygies of highest weight orbits, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Ser. 2*, **221**, Providence RI, 2007, pp. 79–120.
- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, Residues and Duality, Lecture Notes in Math., **20**, 1966.
- [H] V. Hinich, On the singularities of nilpotent orbits, *Israel J. Math.* **73** (1991), 297–308.
- [K] G. Kempf, On the collapsing of homogeneous bundles, *Inv. Math.* **37** (1976), 229–239.
- [M] S. Mukai, Curves and symmetric spaces. I, *Amer. J. Math.* **117** (1995), 1627–1644.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA, MOUNT CARMEL, HAIFA 31905, ISRAEL

E-mail address: hinich@math.haifa.ac.il

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PAUL SABATIER, 118 ROUTE DE NARBONNE, 31062 TOULOUSE CEDEX 9, FRANCE

E-mail address: schechtman@math.ups-tlse.fr